

# FINITE VOLUME SCHEMES OF ANY ORDER ON RECTANGULAR MESHES

ZHIMIN ZHANG \* AND QINGSONG ZOU †

**Abstract.** In this paper, we analyze vertex-centered finite volume method (FVM) of any order for elliptic equations on rectangular meshes. The novelty is a unified proof of the inf-sup condition, based on which, we show that the FVM approximation converges to the exact solution with the optimal rate in the energy norm. Furthermore, we discuss superconvergence property of the FVM solution. With the help of this superconvergence result, we find that the FVM solution also converges to the exact solution with the optimal rate in the  $L^2$ -norm. Finally, we validate our theory with several numerical experiments.

**AMS subject classifications.** Primary 65N30; Secondary 45N08

**Key words.** High order, finite volume method, inf-sup condition, superconvergence.

**1. Introduction.** During the past several decades, the *finite volume method* (FVM) has attracted much attention. We refer to [2]-[8], [13]-[17], [22]-[26], [30, 35] for an incomplete list of references. Due to its local conservation of numerical fluxes and other advantages, the FVM is very popular in scientific and engineering computations, especially in computational fluid dynamics, see, e.g., [13, 17, 18] and [22]-[26].

Comparing to its wide applications, the mathematical theory of FVM (cf., [3, 15, 19, 20]) has not been fully developed, at least, not as satisfactory as that for the finite element method (FEM). In fact, since the FV schemes depend heavily on the underlying meshes, the error analysis in the literature was often done case-by-case. For instance, the linear FV scheme can be regarded as a small perturbation of its corresponding linear FE scheme, whose convergence properties have been well studied, see e.g., [2, 4, 14, 16]. On the other hand, high-order FV schemes are substantially different from their corresponding FE schemes, therefore only a few special high-order schemes have been studied, see [5, 7, 8, 21, 25, 27, 30]. So far, we have not seen analysis for FV schemes of an arbitrary order.

In this paper, we provide a unified analysis for vertex-centered FV schemes of any order on rectangular meshes. We construct our FV schemes under the framework of the Petrov-Galerkin method by letting the trial space be the Lagrange finite element space with the interpolation points being the Lobatto points and by constructing control volumes with the Gauss points in a rectangular element.

It is known that the proof of the stability is a challenging task in the error analysis of FV schemes. Earlier works (see, e.g., [21, 20, 30, 8]) utilized *element stiffness matrix analysis* for this task. The *element stiffness matrix analysis* often requires to calculate all eigenvalues of an element stiffness matrix and thus is difficult to be generalized to schemes of any order. Our new approach in this paper for proving the

---

\*Department of Mathematics, Wayne State University, Detroit, MI 48202, USA. This author is supported in part by the US National Science Foundation through grant DMS-111530, the Ministry of Education of China through the Changjiang Scholars program, and Guangdong Provincial Government of China through the “Computational Science Innovative Research Team” program.

†Corresponding author, College of Mathematics and Scientific Computing and Guangdong Province Key Laboratory of Computational Science, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, 510275, P. R. China. This author is supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under the grant 11171359 and in part by the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities of China.

stability (or in general the inf-sup condition) is different from the *element stiffness matrix analysis*. A novel and non-traditional global mapping from the trial space to the test space is introduced. This mapping avoids calculating eigenvalues of an element stiffness matrix and makes the establishment of the global inf-sup condition for any order possible. An interesting feature is that when the coefficient  $\alpha$  in (2.1) is a piecewise constant function with respect to the underlying mesh, the inf-sup condition is uniformly valid for any mesh size  $h$ , i.e., there is no requirement “for sufficiently small  $h$ ”. In particular, for the Poisson equation, the inf-sup condition is uniformly valid for any mesh size  $h$ . Once the inf-sup property has been established, the error analysis in the energy norm is then a routine work.

Another feature of this work is the superconvergence analysis. We prove that the FV solution  $u_{\mathcal{P}}$  is super-close to the Lobatto interpolant  $u_I$  of the exact solution, namely,  $|u_{\mathcal{P}} - u_I|_1$  converges one order higher than the optimal rate. The result simulates the counterpart result in the FEM. A by-product of this superconvergence result is the optimal  $L^2$  error estimate. Conventionally, the  $L^2$  error estimate is accomplished by the duality argument or the so-called Aubin-Nitsche trick. Unfortunately, this technique is very difficult to be used in our case for higher-order FVM. The adoption of the superconvergence analysis avoids this difficulty.

We organize the rest of the paper as follows. In Section 2 we present FV schemes of any order for elliptic equations on rectangular meshes. In Section 3 we provide convergence analysis and establish the optimal convergence rate in both  $H^1$  and  $L^2$  norms. The superconvergence property of the FVM solution has also been studied in this section. Next, numerical examples are provided in Section 4 to confirm our theory. And lastly, some concluding remarks are given in Section 5.

In the rest of this paper, “ $A \lesssim B$ ” means that  $A$  can be bounded by  $B$  multiplied by a constant which is independent of the parameters which  $A$  and  $B$  may depend on. “ $A \sim B$ ” means “ $A \lesssim B$ ” and “ $B \lesssim A$ ”.

**2. FVM Schemes of Any Order.** In this section, we present finite volume schemes of any order to solve the following second-order elliptic boundary value problem

$$-\nabla \cdot (\alpha \nabla u) = f \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad (2.1)$$

$$u = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma, \quad (2.2)$$

where  $\Omega = [a, b] \times [c, d]$  is a rectangle,  $\Gamma = \partial\Omega$ ,  $\alpha \in L^\infty$  and it is bounded from below: There exists a constant  $\alpha_0 > 0$  such that  $\alpha(x) \geq \alpha_0$  for almost all  $x \in \Omega$ , and  $f$  is a real-valued function defined on  $\Omega$ .

We present our finite volume schemes in the framework of Petrov-Galerkin method. We first construct the primal partition  $\mathcal{P}$  and the trial space. Let  $a = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_m = b$ ,  $c = y_0 < y_1 < \dots < y_n = d$ . For a positive integer  $k$ , let  $\mathbb{Z}_k = \{1, \dots, k\}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_k^0 = \{0, 1, \dots, k\}$ . For all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_m, j \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ , let  $h_i^x = x_i - x_{i-1}, h_j^y = y_j - y_{j-1}$  and  $h = \max \{ \max(h_i^x, h_j^y) | (i, j) \in \mathbb{Z}_{m,n} \}$  where  $\mathbb{Z}_{m,n} = \mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_n$ . We denote the associated partition of  $\Omega$  by

$$\mathcal{P} = \{ \tau_{i,j} | (i, j) \in \mathbb{Z}_{m,n} \}$$

where  $\tau_{i,j} = [x_{i-1}, x_i] \times [y_{j-1}, y_j]$ . We choose the trial space as the standard FEM space defined by

$$U_{\mathcal{P}}^r = \{ v \in C(\Omega) : v|_{\tau} \in \mathbb{Q}_r, \forall \tau \in \mathcal{P}, v|_{\partial\Omega} = 0 \},$$

where  $\mathbb{Q}_r$  is the set of all bi-polynomials of degree no more than  $r$ . Obviously,  $\dim U_{\mathcal{P}}^r = (mr - 1)(nr - 1)$ .

We next describe the dual partition and the test space. Let  $G_1, \dots, G_r$  be  $r$  Gauss points, i.e., zeros of the Legendre polynomial of  $r$ th degree, on the interval  $[-1, 1]$ . For any given  $(i, j) \in \mathbb{Z}_{m,n}$ , let

$$g_{i,k}^x = \frac{1}{2}(x_i + x_{i-1} + h_i^x G_k), \quad g_{j,l}^y = \frac{1}{2}(y_j + y_{j-1} + h_j^y G_l); \quad \forall k, l \in \mathbb{Z}_r$$

be the Gauss points in the interval  $[x_{i-1}, x_i]$  and  $[y_{j-1}, y_j]$ , respectively. Let

$$\hat{r}_i^x = \begin{cases} r & \text{if } i \in \mathbb{Z}_m, \\ 1 & \text{if } i = 0, \end{cases} \quad \hat{r}_j^y = \begin{cases} r & \text{if } j \in \mathbb{Z}_n, \\ 1 & \text{if } j = 0, \end{cases}$$

and

$$g_{0,1}^x = a, \quad g_{0,1}^y = c; \quad g_{m,r+1}^x = b, \quad g_{n,r+1}^y = d;$$

and for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{m-1}, j \in \mathbb{Z}_{n-1}$

$$g_{i,\hat{r}_i^x+1}^x = g_{i+1,1}^x, \quad g_{j,\hat{r}_j^y+1}^y = g_{j+1,1}^y.$$

With these Gauss points, we construct a dual partition

$$\mathcal{P}' = \{\tau'_{i,k;j,l} \mid (i, k, j, l) \in \mathcal{Z}_0\},$$

where  $\mathcal{Z}_0 = \mathbb{Z}_m^0 \times \mathbb{Z}_{\hat{r}_i^x} \times \mathbb{Z}_n^0 \times \mathbb{Z}_{\hat{r}_j^y}$  and the control volume

$$\tau'_{i,k;j,l} := [g_{i,k}^x, g_{i,k+1}^x] \times [g_{j,l}^y, g_{j,l+1}^y].$$

The test space  $V_{\mathcal{P}'}$  consists of the piecewise constants with respect to the partition  $\mathcal{P}'$  which vanishes on the boundary control volumes. In other words,

$$V_{\mathcal{P}'} = \text{Span} \{\psi_{i,k;j,l} \mid (i, k, j, l) \in \mathcal{Z}_1\}$$

where  $\psi_{i,k;j,l} = \chi_{\tau'_{i,k;j,l}}$  is the characteristic function on the control volume  $\tau'_{i,k;j,l}$ ,  $\mathcal{Z}_1 = \mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_{r_i^x} \times \mathbb{Z}_n \times \mathbb{Z}_{r_j^y}$  and

$$r_i^x = \begin{cases} r & \text{if } i \in \mathbb{Z}_{m-1}, \\ r-1 & \text{if } i = m, \end{cases} \quad r_j^y = \begin{cases} r & \text{if } j \in \mathbb{Z}_{n-1}, \\ r-1 & \text{if } j = n. \end{cases}$$

Note that  $\dim V_{\mathcal{P}'} = (mr - 1) \times (nr - 1) = \dim U_{\mathcal{P}}^r$ .

We are now ready to present our finite volume schemes. The finite volume solution of (2.1) and (2.2) is a function  $u_{\mathcal{P}} \in U_{\mathcal{P}}^r$  which satisfies the following conservation law

$$-\int_{\partial\tau'_{i,k;j,l}} \alpha \frac{\partial u_{\mathcal{P}}}{\partial \mathbf{n}} ds = \int_{\tau'_{i,k;j,l}} f dx dy \quad (2.3)$$

on each control volume  $\tau'_{i,k;j,l}, (i, k, j, l) \in \mathcal{Z}_1$ , where  $\mathbf{n}$  is the unit outward normal on the boundary curve  $\partial\tau'_{i,k;j,l}$ . Let  $w_{\mathcal{P}'} \in V_{\mathcal{P}'}$ ,  $w_{\mathcal{P}'}$  can be written as

$$w_{\mathcal{P}'} = \sum_{(i,k;j,l) \in \mathcal{Z}_1} w_{i,k;j,l} \psi_{i,k;j,l}$$

where the coefficients  $w_{i,k;j,l}$  are constants. Multiplying (2.3) with  $w_{i,k;j,l}$  and then summing up for all  $i, k, j, l$ , we obtain

$$- \sum_{(i,k;j,l) \in \mathcal{Z}_1} w_{i,k;j,l} \int_{\partial \tau'_{i,k;j,l}} \alpha \frac{\partial u_{\mathcal{P}}}{\partial \mathbf{n}} ds = \int_{\Omega} f w_{\mathcal{P}'} dx dy.$$

Defining the FVM bilinear form for all  $v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ ,  $w_{\mathcal{P}'} \in V_{\mathcal{P}'}$  as

$$a_{\mathcal{P}}(v, w_{\mathcal{P}'}) = - \sum_{(i,k;j,l) \in \mathcal{Z}_1} w_{i,k;j,l} \int_{\partial \tau'_{i,k;j,l}} \alpha \frac{\partial v}{\partial \mathbf{n}} ds, \quad (2.4)$$

the finite volume method for solving equations (2.1) and (2.2) reads as : Find  $u_{\mathcal{P}} \in U_{\mathcal{P}}^r$  such that

$$a_{\mathcal{P}}(u_{\mathcal{P}}, w_{\mathcal{P}'}) = (f, w_{\mathcal{P}'}), \quad \forall w_{\mathcal{P}'} \in V_{\mathcal{P}'}. \quad (2.5)$$

Noticing that  $\mathcal{Z}_1 \subset \mathcal{Z}_0$ , a function  $w_{\mathcal{P}'} \in V_{\mathcal{P}'}$  can also be written as

$$w_{\mathcal{P}'} = \sum_{(i,k;j,l) \in \mathcal{Z}_0} w_{i,k;j,l} \psi_{i,k;j,l},$$

if we let

$$w_{i,k;j,l} = 0, \quad \forall (i, k; j, l) \in \mathcal{Z}_0 \setminus \mathcal{Z}_1.$$

For all  $(i, k; j, l) \in \mathcal{Z}_2 = \mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_r \times \mathbb{Z}_n \times \mathbb{Z}_r$ , we define the jumps of  $w_{\mathcal{P}'}$  in the  $x$ -direction and  $y$ -direction as

$$[w_{i,k;j,l}]^x = w_{i,k;j,l} - w_{i,k-1;j,l}, \quad [w_{i,k;j,l}]^y = w_{i,k;j,l} - w_{i,k;j,l-1},$$

respectively.

A simple calculation yields that

$$\begin{aligned} a_{\mathcal{P}}(v, w_{\mathcal{P}'}) &= \sum_{(i,k;j,l) \in \mathcal{Z}_3} [w_{i,k;j,l}]^x \int_{g_{j,l}^y}^{g_{j,l+1}^y} \alpha(g_{i,k}^x, y) \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}(g_{i,k}^x, y) dy \\ &+ \sum_{(i,k;j,l) \in \mathcal{Z}_4} [w_{i,k;j,l}]^y \int_{g_{i,k}^x}^{g_{i,k+1}^x} \alpha(x, g_{j,l}^y) \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}(x, g_{j,l}^y) dx, \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

where  $\mathcal{Z}_3 = \mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_r \times \mathbb{Z}_n \times \mathbb{Z}_{r_j}^y$  and  $\mathcal{Z}_4 = \mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_{r_i}^x \times \mathbb{Z}_n \times \mathbb{Z}_r$ .

**3. Error Analysis.** The error analysis of FVM can also be done under the framework of Petrov–Galerkin methods, see [2], [20], and [30]. Following this approach, our FVMs error analysis requires the study of the continuity (boundedness) and inf-sup property of the FVM bilinear form.

**3.1. Continuity.** Let  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{P}'}$  be the set of interior edges of the dual partition  $\mathcal{P}'$ . Then for all  $v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ ,  $w_{\mathcal{P}'} \in V_{\mathcal{P}'}$ ,

$$a_{\mathcal{P}}(v, w_{\mathcal{P}'}) = \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{P}'}} [w_{\mathcal{P}'}] \int_E \alpha \frac{\partial v}{\partial \mathbf{n}} ds, \quad (3.1)$$

where  $[w_{\mathcal{P}'}] = w_{\mathcal{P}'}|_{\tau_2} - w_{\mathcal{P}'}|_{\tau_1}$  across the common edge  $E = \tau_1 \cap \tau_2$  of two rectangles  $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in \mathcal{P}'$  and  $\mathbf{n}$  denotes the normal vector on  $E$  pointing from  $\tau_1$  to  $\tau_2$ .

To study the continuity of  $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ , we define a semi-norm in the test space  $V_{\mathcal{P}'}$  for all  $w_{\mathcal{P}'} \in V_{\mathcal{P}'}$  by

$$|w_{\mathcal{P}'}|_{\mathcal{P}'} = \left( \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{P}'}} h_E^{-1} \int_E [w_{\mathcal{P}'}]^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where  $h_E$  is the diameter of an edge  $E$ , and a semi-norm in the so-called *broken*  $H^2$  space

$$H_{\mathcal{P}}^2(\Omega) = \{v \in C(\Omega) : v|_{\tau} \in H^2, \forall \tau \in \mathcal{P}\}$$

for all  $v \in H_{\mathcal{P}}^2(\Omega)$  by

$$|v|_{\mathcal{P}} = \left( \sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{P}} |v|_{1,\tau}^2 + h_{\tau}^2 |v|_{2,\tau}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where  $h_{\tau}$  is the diameter of  $\tau$ . Note that the mesh-dependent semi-norm  $|\cdot|_{\mathcal{P}}$  has been used in the discontinuous Galerkin method (cf., [1]) and was introduced first into the FVM in [30].

**THEOREM 3.1.** *The finite volume bilinear form  $a_{\mathcal{P}}(\cdot, \cdot)$  is variationally exact:*

$$a_{\mathcal{P}}(u, w_{\mathcal{P}'}) = (f, w_{\mathcal{P}'}) \quad \forall w_{\mathcal{P}'} \in V_{\mathcal{P}'} \quad (3.2)$$

and continuous : for all  $v \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap H_{\mathcal{P}}^2(\Omega)$ ,  $w_{\mathcal{P}'} \in V_{\mathcal{P}'}$ ,

$$|a_{\mathcal{P}}(v, w_{\mathcal{P}'})| \leq M |v|_{\mathcal{P}} |w_{\mathcal{P}'}|_{\mathcal{P}'} \quad (3.3)$$

where the constant  $M > 0$  depends only on  $\alpha$  and  $r$ .

*Proof.* First, (3.2) follows by multiplying (2.1) with an arbitrary function  $w_{\mathcal{P}'} \in V_{\mathcal{P}'}$  and then using Green's formula in each control volume  $\tau \in \mathcal{P}'$ .

Next we prove (3.3). By the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, for all  $v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ ,  $w_{\mathcal{P}'} \in V_{\mathcal{P}'}$ , we have

$$a_{\mathcal{P}}(v, w_{\mathcal{P}'}) \leq \|\alpha\|_{\infty} |w_{\mathcal{P}'}|_{\mathcal{P}'} \left( \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{P}'}} h_E \int_E \left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial \mathbf{n}} \right)^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

By the trace inequality and the shape regularity of  $\mathcal{P}$ ,

$$\left( h_E \int_E \left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial \mathbf{n}} \right)^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim |v|_{1, \tau_1 \cup \tau_2} + h_E |v|_{2, \tau_1 \cup \tau_2}$$

where  $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in \mathcal{P}'$  are two control volumes sharing the common edge  $E$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} a_{\mathcal{P}}(v, w_{\mathcal{P}'}) &\lesssim |w_{\mathcal{P}'}|_{\mathcal{P}'} \left( \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{P}'}} |v|_{1, \tau_1 \cup \tau_2}^2 + h_E^2 |v|_{2, \tau_1 \cup \tau_2}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\lesssim |w_{\mathcal{P}'}|_{\mathcal{P}'} \left( \sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{P}} |v|_{1,\tau}^2 + h_{\tau}^2 |v|_{2,\tau}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then the boundedness (3.3) is proved.  $\square$

**3.2. Inf-sup condition.** This subsection is the core of the paper. The analysis here is technical, and yet, it is new and no-traditional. A key step is the introduction of a global projection (3.4) based on the idea of the Gauss quadrature. We begin with some definitions and notations. We denote by  $A_j, j \in \mathbb{Z}_r$  the weights of the Gauss quadrature

$$Q_r(F) = \sum_{j=1}^r A_j F(G_j)$$

for computing the integral

$$I(F) = \int_{-1}^1 F(x) dx.$$

It is well-known that  $Q_r(F) = I(F)$  for all  $F \in \mathbb{P}_{2r-1}(-1, 1)$ . For any given  $(i, j) \in \mathbb{Z}_{m,n}$ , let

$$A_{i,k}^x = \frac{1}{2} h_i^x A_k, \quad A_{j,k}^y = \frac{1}{2} h_j^y A_k, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}_r$$

be the Gauss weights in the intervals  $[x_{i-1}, x_i]$  and  $[y_{j-1}, y_j]$ , respectively. On the other hand,  $[w_{i,k;j,l}]^x$  and  $[w_{i,k;j,l}]^y$  are well defined for all  $(i, k; j, l) \in \mathbb{Z}_2$  and we have

$$[[w_{i,k;j,l}]^x]^y = [[w_{i,k;j,l}]^y]^x = w_{i,k;j,l} + w_{i,k-1;j,l-1} - w_{i,k-1;j,l} - w_{i,k;j,l-1}.$$

We denote

$$[w]_{i,k;j,l} = w_{i,k;j,l} + w_{i,k-1;j,l-1} - w_{i,k-1;j,l} - w_{i,k;j,l-1}, \quad \forall (i, k; j, l) \in \mathbb{Z}_2.$$

We define the mapping from the trial space  $U_{\mathcal{P}}^r$  to the test space  $V_{\mathcal{P}'}$  as :

$$\Pi v_{\mathcal{P}} = \sum_{(i,k;j,l) \in \mathbb{Z}_1} (\Pi v_{\mathcal{P}})_{i,k;j,l} \psi_{i,k;j,l} \in V_{\mathcal{P}'}, \quad v_{\mathcal{P}} \in U_{\mathcal{P}}^r, \quad (3.4)$$

where the coefficients  $(\Pi v_{\mathcal{P}})_{i,k;j,l}$  are determined by the constraints

$$[\Pi v_{\mathcal{P}}]_{i,k;j,l} = A_{i,k}^x A_{j,l}^y \frac{\partial^2 v_{\mathcal{P}}}{\partial x \partial y}(g_{i,k}^x, g_{j,l}^y), \quad \forall (i, k; j, l) \in \mathbb{Z}_1. \quad (3.5)$$

**REMARK 3.2.** We can not suppose a priori that (3.5) holds for all  $(i, k; j, l) \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ , because  $\#\mathbb{Z}_2 = mnr^2$  which is greater than  $\dim V_{\mathcal{P}'} = (mr-1)(nr-1)$ . However,  $\#\mathbb{Z}_1 = (mr-1)(nr-1)$ , so we constraint (3.5) only for the indices in  $\mathbb{Z}_1$ . We present the Gauss points corresponding the index sets  $\mathbb{Z}_1$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  in Figure ?? ( $r = 2$ ). In this figure, the gauss points corresponding to  $\mathbb{Z}_1$  are depicted with ‘•’ and the Gauss points corresponding to  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \setminus \mathbb{Z}_1$  are depicted with heavy ‘★’.

We next explain how to determine  $\Pi v_{\mathcal{P}}$  by (3.5). For any given  $v_{\mathcal{P}}$ ,  $\Pi v_{\mathcal{P}} = 0$  on the boundary control volumes, namely,  $(\Pi v_{\mathcal{P}})_{i,k;j,l} = 0, \forall (i, k; j, l) \in \mathbb{Z}_0 \setminus \mathbb{Z}_1$ . In the first step, let  $(i, k; j, l) = (1, 1; 1, 1)$  in (3.5) to obtain

$$(\Pi v_{\mathcal{P}})_{1,1;1,1} = A_{1,1}^x A_{1,1}^y \frac{\partial^2 v_{\mathcal{P}}}{\partial x \partial y}(g_{1,1}^x, g_{1,1}^y).$$

Once  $(\Pi v_{\mathcal{P}})_{1,1;1,1}$  is obtained, we then let  $(j, l) \in \mathbb{Z}_n \times \mathbb{Z}_{r_j} \setminus \{(1, 1)\}$  in (3.5) to obtain

$$(\Pi v_{\mathcal{P}})_{1,1;j,l} = (\Pi v_{\mathcal{P}})_{1,1;j,l-1} + A_{1,1}^x A_{j,l}^y \frac{\partial^2 v_{\mathcal{P}}}{\partial x \partial y}(g_{1,1}^x, g_{j,l}^y),$$

where we again used the fact  $\Pi v_{\mathcal{P}} = 0$  on the boundary volumes. That is,  $(\Pi v_{\mathcal{P}})_{1,1;j,l}$  can be successively calculated for all  $(j, l) \in \mathbb{Z}_n \times \mathbb{Z}_{r_j}$ . In the same way, we can calculate  $(\Pi v_{\mathcal{P}})_{i,k;1,1}$  for all  $(i, k) \in \mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_{r_i}$  successively. In the second step, we use (3.5) to compute all  $(\Pi v_{\mathcal{P}})_{1,2;j,l}$  and all  $(\Pi v_{\mathcal{P}})_{i,k;1,2}$  for all  $(j, l) \in \mathbb{Z}_n \times \mathbb{Z}_{r_j} \setminus \{(1, 1), 1, 2\}$  and all  $(i, k) \in \mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_{r_i} \setminus \{(1, 1), 1, 2\}$ . In the  $p$ -th step,  $p = 2, \dots, \min(mr-1, nr-1)$ , we use (3.5) to compute all  $(\Pi v_{\mathcal{P}})_{i_p,k_p;j,l}$  and all  $(\Pi v_{\mathcal{P}})_{i,k;i_p,k_p}$  for all  $(j, l) \in \mathbb{Z}_n \times \mathbb{Z}_{r_j} \setminus \{(1, 1), 1, 2, \dots, (i_p, k_p-1)\}$  and all  $(i, k) \in \mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_{r_i} \setminus \{(1, 1), 1, 2, \dots, (i_p, j_p-1)\}$ . Finally, we obtain  $(\Pi v_{\mathcal{P}})_{i,k;j,l}$  for all  $(i, k; j, l) \in \mathcal{Z}_1$ .

Next, we show that (3.5) holds for all  $(i, k; j, l) \in \mathcal{Z}_2$ . In fact, since  $v_{\mathcal{P}} = 0$  on the boundary  $\partial\Omega$ ,

$$\frac{\partial v_{\mathcal{P}}}{\partial x}(x, c) = \frac{\partial v_{\mathcal{P}}}{\partial x}(x, d) = 0, \forall x \in [a, b],$$

then for all  $(i, k) \in \mathbb{Z}_{m,r}$ ,

$$\sum_{(j,l) \in \mathbb{Z}_{n,r}} A_{j,l}^y \frac{\partial^2 v_{\mathcal{P}}}{\partial x \partial y}(g_{i,k}^x, g_{j,l}^y) = \int_c^d \frac{\partial^2 v_{\mathcal{P}}}{\partial x \partial y}(g_{i,k}^x, y) dy = 0.$$

In other words,

$$A_{n,r}^y \frac{\partial^2 v_{\mathcal{P}}}{\partial x \partial y}(g_{i,k}^x, g_{n,r}^y) = - \sum_{(j,l) \in \mathbb{Z}_{n,r_j}^y} A_{j,l}^y \frac{\partial^2 v_{\mathcal{P}}}{\partial x \partial y}(g_{i,k}^x, g_{j,l}^y).$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} A_{i,k}^x A_{n,r}^y \frac{\partial^2 v_{\mathcal{P}}}{\partial x \partial y}(g_{i,k}^x, g_{n,r}^y) &= - \sum_{(j,l) \in \mathbb{Z}_{n,r_j}^y} [\Pi v_{\mathcal{P}}]_{i,k;j,l} \\ &= - \sum_{(j,l) \in \mathbb{Z}_{n,r_j}^y} [[(\Pi v_{\mathcal{P}})_{i,k;j,l}]^x]^y \\ &= -[\Pi v_{\mathcal{P}}]_{i,k;n,r}^x = [\Pi v_{\mathcal{P}}]_{i,k;n,r}. \end{aligned}$$

By the same reasoning, for all  $(j, l) \in \mathbb{Z}_{n,r}$ ,

$$[\Pi v_{\mathcal{P}}]_{m,r;j,l} = A_{m,r}^x A_{j,l}^y \frac{\partial^2 v_{\mathcal{P}}}{\partial x \partial y}(g_{m,r}^x, g_{j,l}^y).$$

Namely, (3.5) holds for all  $(i, k; j, l) \in \mathcal{Z}_2$ .

LEMMA 3.3. *If  $\mathcal{P}$  is shape regular, then for any  $v_{\mathcal{P}} \in U_{\mathcal{P}}^r$ ,*

$$|\Pi v_{\mathcal{P}}|_{\mathcal{P}'} \lesssim |v_{\mathcal{P}}|_1, \quad (3.6)$$

where the hidden constant depends only on  $r$ .

*Proof.* By the definition of the semi-norm  $|\cdot|_{\mathcal{P}'}$ , we have

$$|\Pi v_{\mathcal{P}}|_{\mathcal{P}'}^2 = \sum_{(i,k;j,l) \in \mathcal{Z}_3} ([(\Pi v_{\mathcal{P}})_{i,k;j,l}]^x)^2 + \sum_{(i,k;j,l) \in \mathcal{Z}_4} ([(\Pi v_{\mathcal{P}})_{i,k;j,l}]^y)^2. \quad (3.7)$$

We next estimate  $[(\Pi v_{\mathcal{P}})_{i,k;j,l}]^x$ . For all given  $(i,k;j) \in \mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_r \times \mathbb{Z}_n$ , the function  $\frac{\partial^2 v_{\mathcal{P}}}{\partial x \partial y}(g_{i,k}^x, \cdot)$  is a polynomial of degree  $r-1$  in the interval  $[y_{j-1}, y_j]$ , therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_r} A_{j,l}^y \frac{\partial^2 v_{\mathcal{P}}}{\partial x \partial y}(g_{i,k}^x, g_{j,l}^y) &= \int_{y_{j-1}}^{y_j} \frac{\partial^2 v_{\mathcal{P}}}{\partial x \partial y}(g_{i,k}^x, y) dy \\ &= \frac{\partial v_{\mathcal{P}}}{\partial x}(g_{i,k}^x, y_j) - \frac{\partial v_{\mathcal{P}}}{\partial x}(g_{i,k}^x, y_{j-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Noticing that

$$\frac{\partial v_{\mathcal{P}}}{\partial x}(g_{i,k}^x, c) = 0,$$

we have

$$\sum_{j' \in \mathbb{Z}_j} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_r} A_{j',l}^y \frac{\partial^2 v_{\mathcal{P}}}{\partial x \partial y}(g_{i,k}^x, g_{j',l}^y) = \frac{\partial v_{\mathcal{P}}}{\partial x}(g_{i,k}^x, y_j).$$

Consequently, for all  $(i,k;j,l) \in \mathcal{Z}_3$

$$\begin{aligned} [\Pi v_{\mathcal{P}}]_{i,k;j,l}^x &= \sum_{(j',l') \in \mathbb{Z}_j \times \mathbb{Z}_{r_{j,l}}} [\Pi v_{\mathcal{P}}]_{i,k;j',l'} \\ &= A_{i,k}^x \sum_{(j',l') \in \mathbb{Z}_j \times \mathbb{Z}_{r_{j,l}}} A_{j',l'}^y \frac{\partial^2 v_{\mathcal{P}}}{\partial x \partial y}(g_{i,k}^x, g_{j',l'}^y) \\ &= A_{i,k}^x \left( \frac{\partial v_{\mathcal{P}}}{\partial x}(g_{i,k}^x, y_{j-1}) + \sum_{l' \in \mathbb{Z}_l} A_{j,l'}^y \frac{\partial^2 v_{\mathcal{P}}}{\partial x \partial y}(g_{i,k}^x, g_{j,l'}^y) \right), \quad (3.8) \end{aligned}$$

where  $r_{j,l} = r$ , if  $j' < j$  and  $r_{j,l} = l$ , if  $j' = j$ .

We first estimate  $\frac{\partial v_{\mathcal{P}}}{\partial x}(g_{i,k}^x, y_{j-1})$ . Since  $\frac{\partial v_{\mathcal{P}}}{\partial x}(g_{i,k}^x, \cdot) \in \mathbb{P}_{r-1}(y_{j-1}, y_j)$ , by the inverse inequality, there holds

$$\left\| \frac{\partial v_{\mathcal{P}}}{\partial x}(g_{i,k}^x, \cdot) \right\|_{L_{\infty}([y_{j-1}, y_j])} \lesssim h^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left\| \frac{\partial v_{\mathcal{P}}}{\partial x}(g_{i,k}^x, \cdot) \right\|_{L_2([y_{j-1}, y_j])},$$

where the hidden constant depends only on  $r$ . Then

$$\left| \frac{\partial v_{\mathcal{P}}}{\partial x}(g_{i,k}^x, y_{j-1}) \right| \lesssim h^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left\| \frac{\partial v_{\mathcal{P}}}{\partial x}(g_{i,k}^x, \cdot) \right\|_{L_2([y_{j-1}, y_j])}. \quad (3.9)$$

We now estimate the second term of (3.8). By the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \sum_{l' \in \mathbb{Z}_l} A_{j,l'}^y \frac{\partial^2 v_{\mathcal{P}}}{\partial x \partial y}(g_{i,k}^x, g_{j,l'}^y) \right)^2 &\leq r \sum_{l' \in \mathbb{Z}_r} (A_{j,l'}^y)^2 \left( \frac{\partial^2 v_{\mathcal{P}}}{\partial x \partial y}(g_{i,k}^x, g_{j,l'}^y) \right)^2 \\ &\leq r h_j^y \sum_{l' \in \mathbb{Z}_r} A_{j,l'}^y \left( \frac{\partial^2 v_{\mathcal{P}}}{\partial x \partial y}(g_{i,k}^x, g_{j,l'}^y) \right)^2 \\ &= r h_j^y \int_{y_{j-1}}^{y_j} \left( \frac{\partial^2 v_{\mathcal{P}}}{\partial x \partial y}(g_{i,k}^x, y) \right)^2 dy. \end{aligned}$$

Again since  $\frac{\partial v_{\mathcal{P}}}{\partial x}(g_{i,k}^x, \cdot) \in \mathbb{P}_{r-1}(y_{j-1}, y_j)$ , we have the inverse inequality

$$\left\| \frac{\partial^2 v_{\mathcal{P}}}{\partial y \partial x}(g_{i,k}^x, \cdot) \right\|_{L^2(y_{j-1}, y_j)} \lesssim h^{-1} \left\| \frac{\partial v_{\mathcal{P}}}{\partial x}(g_{i,k}^x, \cdot) \right\|_{L^2(y_{j-1}, y_j)},$$

where the hidden constant again depends only on  $r$ . Consequently,

$$\left( \sum_{l' \in \mathbb{Z}_l} A_{j,l'}^y \frac{\partial^2 v_{\mathcal{P}}}{\partial x \partial y}(g_{i,k}^x, g_{j,l'}^y) \right)^2 \lesssim h^{-1} \int_{y_{j-1}}^{y_j} \left( \frac{\partial v_{\mathcal{P}}}{\partial x}(g_{i,k}^x, \cdot) \right)^2 dy. \quad (3.10)$$

Substituting (3.9) and (3.10) into (3.8) and noticing the fact  $A_{i,k}^x h^{-1} \leq 1$ , we obtain that for all  $(i, k; j, l) \in \mathcal{Z}_3$

$$([\Pi v_{\mathcal{P}}]_{i,k;j,l}^x)^2 \lesssim A_{i,k}^x \int_{y_{j-1}}^{y_j} \left( \frac{\partial v_{\mathcal{P}}}{\partial x}(g_{i,k}^x, y) \right)^2 dy.$$

Consequently,

$$\sum_{(i,k;j,l) \in \mathcal{Z}_3} ([\Pi v_{\mathcal{P}}]_{i,k;j,l}^x)^2 \lesssim \sum_{(i,k) \in \mathbb{Z}_{m,r}} A_{i,k}^x \int_c^d \left( \frac{\partial v_{\mathcal{P}}}{\partial x}(g_{i,k}^x, y) \right)^2 dy.$$

Since the function  $\int_c^d \left( \frac{\partial v_{\mathcal{P}}}{\partial x}(\cdot, y) \right)^2 dy \in \mathbb{P}_{2r-2}(x_{i-1}, x_i)$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_m$ , we obtain

$$\sum_{(i,k;j,l) \in \mathcal{Z}_3} ([\Pi v_{\mathcal{P}}]_{i,k;j,l}^x)^2 \lesssim \int_a^b \int_c^d \left( \frac{\partial v_{\mathcal{P}}}{\partial x}(x, y) \right)^2 dy dx. \quad (3.11)$$

By the same arguments,

$$\sum_{(i,k;j,l) \in \mathcal{Z}_4} ([\Pi v_{\mathcal{P}}]_{i,k;j,l}^y)^2 \lesssim \int_a^b \int_c^d \left( \frac{\partial v_{\mathcal{P}}}{\partial y}(x, y) \right)^2 dx dy. \quad (3.12)$$

Therefore, by (3.7),

$$|\Pi v_{\mathcal{P}}|_{\mathcal{P}'}^2 \lesssim \int_a^b \int_c^d \left( \frac{\partial v_{\mathcal{P}}}{\partial x}(x, y) \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial v_{\mathcal{P}}}{\partial y}(x, y) \right)^2 dx dy,$$

from which the inequality (3.6) follows.  $\square$

LEMMA 3.4. *If  $\alpha$  is piecewise constant with respect to  $\mathcal{P}$ , then*

$$a_{\mathcal{P}}(v_{\mathcal{P}}, \Pi v_{\mathcal{P}}) \geq \alpha_0 |v_{\mathcal{P}}|_1^2, \quad \forall v_{\mathcal{P}} \in U_{\mathcal{P}}^r. \quad (3.13)$$

*Proof.* We define two functions for all  $(x, y) \in \Omega$  by

$$v^1(x, y) = \int_c^y \alpha(x, y') \frac{\partial v_{\mathcal{P}}}{\partial x}(x, y') dy', \quad v^2(x, y) = \int_a^x \alpha(x', y) \frac{\partial v_{\mathcal{P}}}{\partial y}(x', y) dx'.$$

A straightforward calculation yields that

$$a_{\mathcal{P}}(v_{\mathcal{P}}, \Pi v_{\mathcal{P}}) = - \sum_{(i,k;j,l) \in \mathcal{Z}_2} [\Pi v_{\mathcal{P}}]_{i,k;j,l} \left( v^1(g_{i,k}^x, g_{j,l}^y) + v^2(g_{i,k}^x, g_{j,l}^y) \right).$$

Noticing that (3.5) holds for all  $(i, k; j, l) \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ , we obtain

$$a_{\mathcal{P}}(v_{\mathcal{P}}, \Pi v_{\mathcal{P}}) = I_1 + I_2,$$

where

$$I_1 = - \sum_{(i,k;j,l) \in \mathbb{Z}_2} A_{i,k}^x A_{j,l}^y \frac{\partial^2 v_{\mathcal{P}}}{\partial x \partial y}(g_{i,k}^x, g_{j,l}^y) v^1(g_{i,k}^x, g_{j,l}^y),$$

and

$$I_2 = - \sum_{(i,k;j,l) \in \mathbb{Z}_2} A_{i,k}^x A_{j,l}^y \frac{\partial^2 v_{\mathcal{P}}}{\partial x \partial y}(g_{i,k}^x, g_{j,l}^y) v^2(g_{i,k}^x, g_{j,l}^y).$$

We next estimate  $I_1$ . To this end, for all  $(i, k; j) \in \mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_r \times \mathbb{Z}_n$ , we denote by

$$Err_j(g_{i,k}^x) = \int_{y_{j-1}}^{y_j} \frac{\partial^2 v_{\mathcal{P}}}{\partial x \partial y}(g_{i,k}^x, y) v^1(g_{i,k}^x, y) dy - \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_r} A_{j,l}^y \frac{\partial^2 v_{\mathcal{P}}}{\partial x \partial y}(g_{i,k}^x, g_{j,l}^y) v^1(g_{i,k}^x, g_{j,l}^y)$$

the error of the Gauss quadrature of the function  $\frac{\partial^2 v_{\mathcal{P}}}{\partial x \partial y}(g_{i,k}^x, y) v^1(g_{i,k}^x, \cdot)$  in the interval  $[y_{j-1}, y_j]$ . Moreover, let

$$Res = \sum_{(i,k;j) \in \mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_r \times \mathbb{Z}_n} A_{i,k}^x Err_j(g_{i,k}^x).$$

With this notation,

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= - \sum_{(i,k) \in \mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_r} A_{i,k}^x \int_c^d \frac{\partial^2 v_{\mathcal{P}}}{\partial x \partial y}(g_{i,k}^x, y) v^1(g_{i,k}^x, y) dy + Res \\ &= \sum_{(i,k) \in \mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_r} A_{i,k}^x \int_c^d \alpha(g_{i,k}^x, y) \left( \frac{\partial v_{\mathcal{P}}}{\partial x}(g_{i,k}^x, y) \right)^2 dy + Res. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\alpha$  is a constant in each  $\tau \in \mathcal{P}$ ,

$$\int_c^d \alpha(\cdot, y) \left( \frac{\partial v_{\mathcal{P}}}{\partial x}(\cdot, y) \right)^2 dy \in \mathbb{P}_{2r-2}([x_{i-1}, x_i]), \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}_m,$$

then

$$I_1 = \int_a^b \int_c^d \alpha(x, y) \left( \frac{\partial v_{\mathcal{P}}}{\partial x}(x, y) \right)^2 dy dx + Res. \quad (3.14)$$

We next estimate  $Res$ . Using the fact that  $\alpha$  is piecewise constant with respect to  $\mathcal{P}$ , we have

$$\frac{\partial^2 v_{\mathcal{P}}}{\partial x \partial y}(g_{i,k}^x, \cdot) v^1(g_{i,k}^x, \cdot) \in \mathbb{P}_{2r}([y_{j-1}, y_j]), \forall j \in \mathbb{Z}_n.$$

Then for all  $y \in [y_{j-1}, y_j]$ ,

$$\frac{\partial^{(2r)}}{\partial y^{(2r)}} \left( \frac{\partial^2 v_{\mathcal{P}}}{\partial x \partial y}(g_{i,k}^x, y) v^1(g_{i,k}^x, y) \right) = \alpha(g_{i,k}^x, y) (2r)! \times \frac{r}{r+1} \times a_{i,k,j}^2 \geq 0.$$

where  $a_{i,k;j}$  is the leading coefficient of the polynomial  $\frac{\partial v_{\mathcal{P}}}{\partial x}(g_{i,k}^x, y)$  in  $[y_{j-1}, y_j]$ . Consequently,

$$Err_j(g_{i,k}^x) \geq 0, \forall (i, k) \in \mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_r \times \mathbb{Z}_n,$$

and thus

$$Res \geq 0.$$

In summary,

$$I_1 \geq \alpha_0 \int_a^b \int_c^d \left( \frac{\partial v_{\mathcal{P}}}{\partial x}(x, y) \right)^2 dy dx. \quad (3.15)$$

By the same arguments,

$$I_2 \geq \alpha_0 \int_c^d \int_a^b \left( \frac{\partial v_{\mathcal{P}}}{\partial y}(x, y) \right)^2 dx dy. \quad (3.16)$$

Combining (3.15) and (3.16), the inequality (3.13) follows.  $\square$

Summarizing the above two lemmas, we obtain the following inf-sup property.

**THEOREM 3.5.** *Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a shape regular and quasi-uniform partition with the meshsize  $h$ . If the coefficient  $\alpha$  is piecewise constant, then the inf-sup property*

$$\inf_{v_{\mathcal{P}} \in U_{\mathcal{P}}^r} \sup_{w_{\mathcal{P}'} \in V_{\mathcal{P}'}} \frac{a_{\mathcal{P}}(v_{\mathcal{P}}, w_{\mathcal{P}'})}{|v_{\mathcal{P}}|_1 |w_{\mathcal{P}'}|_{\mathcal{P}'}} \gtrsim 1 \quad (3.17)$$

holds for all  $h$ . If  $\alpha$  is piecewise continuous, then (3.17) holds when the meshsize  $h$  is sufficiently small.

*Proof.* When  $\alpha$  is piecewise constant, by (3.13) and (3.6), for any  $v_{\mathcal{P}} \in U_{\mathcal{P}}^r$ ,

$$\sup_{w_{\mathcal{P}'} \in V_{\mathcal{P}'}} \frac{a_{\mathcal{P}}(v_{\mathcal{P}}, w_{\mathcal{P}'})}{|w_{\mathcal{P}'}|_{\mathcal{P}'}} \geq \frac{a_{\mathcal{P}}(v_{\mathcal{P}}, \Pi v_{\mathcal{P}})}{|\Pi v_{\mathcal{P}}|_{\mathcal{P}'}} \geq \frac{\alpha_0 |v_{\mathcal{P}}|_1^2}{|\Pi v_{\mathcal{P}}|_{\mathcal{P}'}} \gtrsim |v_{\mathcal{P}}|_1.$$

The inf-sup condition (3.17) is proved.

When  $\alpha$  is only piecewise continuous, let

$$\bar{\alpha}(x, y) = \frac{1}{|\tau|} \int_{\tau} \alpha(x, y) dx dy, \forall (x, y) \in \tau \in \mathcal{P}$$

and denote the piecewise modulus of continuity of  $\alpha$  by

$$m_{\mathcal{P}}(\alpha, h) = \sup\{|\alpha(\mathbf{x}_1) - \alpha(\mathbf{x}_2)| : |\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_2| \leq h, \forall \mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2 \in \tau, \forall \tau \in \mathcal{P}\}.$$

The fact that  $\alpha$  is piecewise constant implies that  $m_{\mathcal{P}}(\alpha, h)$  converges to 0 when  $h$  goes to 0. Since  $\bar{\alpha}$  is piecewise constant, by Lemma 3.4,

$$\bar{a}_{\mathcal{P}}(v_{\mathcal{P}}, \Pi v_{\mathcal{P}}) := \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{P}'}} [\Pi v_{\mathcal{P}}] \int_E \bar{\alpha} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \mathbf{n}} ds \geq \alpha_0 |v_{\mathcal{P}}|_1^2.$$

On the other hand, by the same arguments in Theorem 3.1, we have

$$|a_{\mathcal{P}}(v_{\mathcal{P}}, \Pi v_{\mathcal{P}}) - \bar{a}_{\mathcal{P}}(v_{\mathcal{P}}, \Pi v_{\mathcal{P}})| \lesssim m_{\mathcal{P}}(\alpha, h) |v_{\mathcal{P}}|_1^2,$$

Then when  $h$  is sufficiently small,

$$a_{\mathcal{P}}(v_{\mathcal{P}}, \Pi v_{\mathcal{P}}) \geq (\alpha_0 - c m_{\mathcal{P}}(\alpha, h)) |v_{\mathcal{P}}|_{1, \Omega}^2 \geq \frac{\alpha_0}{2} |v_{\mathcal{P}}|_{1, \Omega}^2.$$

The inf-sup condition (3.17) is proved.  $\square$

**3.3.  $H^1$  error estimate.** We begin with some preparations. First, by the inverse inequality,

$$|v_{\mathcal{P}}|_{\mathcal{P}} \sim |v_{\mathcal{P}}|_1, \forall v_{\mathcal{P}} \in U_{\mathcal{P}}^r.$$

With this equivalence, we deduce from the inf-sup condition (3.17) that

$$\inf_{v_{\mathcal{P}} \in U_{\mathcal{P}}^r} \sup_{w_{\mathcal{P}'} \in V_{\mathcal{P}'}} \frac{a_{\mathcal{P}}(v_{\mathcal{P}}, w_{\mathcal{P}'})}{|v_{\mathcal{P}}|_{\mathcal{P}} |w_{\mathcal{P}'}|_{\mathcal{P}'}} \geq c_0, \quad (3.18)$$

where the constant  $c_0 = c_0(r)$  depends only on  $r$ .

Secondly, we denote by  $L_1, \dots, L_{r-1}$ , the zeros of  $L_r'(x)$ , where  $L_r(x)$  is the Legendre polynomial of order  $r$  in the interval  $[-1, 1]$ . Moreover, we denote  $L_0 = -1, L_r = 1$ . The family of the points  $L_j, j = 0, \dots, r$  are called the Lobatto points of degree  $r$ .

The Lobatto points on the rectangle  $\tau_{i,j}, (i, j) \in \mathbb{Z}_{m,n}$  are defined as

$$l_{i,k;j,l} = \left( \frac{1}{2}(x_i + x_{i-1} + h_i^x L_k), \frac{1}{2}(y_j + y_{j-1} + h_j^y L_l) \right), \quad (k, l) \in \mathbb{Z}_{r,r}.$$

Let  $u_I \in U_{\mathcal{P}}^r$  be the interpolation of  $u$  that satisfies

$$u_I(l_{i,k;j,l}) = u(l_{i,k;j,l}), (i, k; j, l) \in \mathbb{Z}_0.$$

Note that this kind of interpolation has been used in the literature for superconvergence analysis, see, e.g., [32, 33] for the one-dimensional situation.

We are now ready to present our main result.

**THEOREM 3.6.** *Let  $u$  be the solution of (2.1) and (2.2),  $u_{\mathcal{P}}$  the solution of (2.5). Then for sufficiently small  $h$ ,*

$$|u - u_{\mathcal{P}}|_{\mathcal{P}} \leq \frac{M}{c_0} \inf_{v_{\mathcal{P}} \in U_{\mathcal{P}}} |u - v_{\mathcal{P}}|_{\mathcal{P}}. \quad (3.19)$$

Consequently, if  $u \in H^{r+1}(\Omega)$ ,

$$|u - u_{\mathcal{P}}|_1 \lesssim h^r |u|_{r+1}, \quad (3.20)$$

where the hidden constant is independent of the mesh size  $h$ .

*Proof.* By (3.2), (3.3) and the inf-sup condition (3.18), for all  $v_{\mathcal{P}} \in U_{\mathcal{P}}$ , there holds

$$|u - u_{\mathcal{P}}|_{\mathcal{P}} \leq |u - v_{\mathcal{P}}|_{\mathcal{P}} + |v_{\mathcal{P}} - u_{\mathcal{P}}|_{\mathcal{P}} \leq \left(1 + \frac{M}{c_0}\right) |u - v_{\mathcal{P}}|_{\mathcal{P}}.$$

Using a technique in Xu and Zikatanov ([29]), the constant  $1 + \frac{M}{c_0}$  in the above inequality can be reduced to  $\frac{M}{c_0}$ . That is, (3.19) holds.

We conclude from the definition of  $|\cdot|_{\mathcal{P}}$  and (3.19) that

$$|u - u_{\mathcal{P}}|_1 \leq |u - u_{\mathcal{P}}|_{\mathcal{P}} \lesssim \inf_{v_{\mathcal{P}} \in U_{\mathcal{P}}^r} |u - v_{\mathcal{P}}|_{\mathcal{P}}.$$

Note that

$$\inf_{v_{\mathcal{P}} \in U_{\mathcal{P}}^r} |u - v_{\mathcal{P}}|_{\mathcal{P}} \leq |u - u_I|_1 + h |u - u_I|_2,$$

where  $u_I$  is the Lagrange interpolation of  $u$  at the Lobatto points in the trial space  $U_{\mathcal{P}}^r$ . By the standard approximation theory, we obtain the estimate (3.20).  $\square$

**3.4. Superconvergence and  $L^2$  error estimates.** We first present a superconvergence result and then use it to establish our  $L^2$  error estimate.

**THEOREM 3.7.** *Assume that  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap H^{r+2}(\Omega)$  is the solution of (2.1)-(2.2), and  $u_{\mathcal{P}}$  is the solution of the FV scheme (2.5). Then for all  $w_{\mathcal{P}'} \in V_{\mathcal{P}'}$ ,*

$$|a_{\mathcal{P}}(u - u_I, w_{\mathcal{P}'})| \lesssim h^{r+1} |u|_{r+2, \mathcal{P}} |w_{\mathcal{P}'}|_{\mathcal{P}'}, \quad (3.21)$$

where  $|u|_{r+2, \mathcal{P}} = (\sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{P}} |u|_{r+2, \tau}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . Consequently,

$$|u_I - u_{\mathcal{P}}|_1 \lesssim h^{r+1} |u|_{r+2, \mathcal{P}}. \quad (3.22)$$

*Proof.* We can derive the following inequality by the standard superconvergence argument, see, e.g., [34],

$$\left\| \frac{\partial(u - u_I)}{\partial x}(g_{i,k}^x, \cdot) \right\|_{L^\infty[g_{j,l}^y, g_{j,l+1}^y]}, \left\| \frac{\partial(u - u_I)}{\partial y}(\cdot, g_{j,l}^y) \right\|_{L^\infty[g_{i,k}^x, g_{i,k+1}^x]} \lesssim h^r |u|_{r+2, 1, \tilde{\tau}_{i,k;j,l}}, \quad (3.23)$$

where  $\tilde{\tau}_{i,k;j,l} = [g_{i,k-1}^x, g_{i,k+1}^x] \times [g_{j,l-1}^y, g_{j,l+1}^y]$ . It follows from (3.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} |a_{\mathcal{P}}(u - u_I, w_{\mathcal{P}'})| &\leq \|\alpha\|_\infty |w_{\mathcal{P}'}|_{\mathcal{P}'} \left( \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{P}'}} h_E \int_E \left( \frac{\partial(u - u_I)}{\partial \mathbf{n}} \right)^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\lesssim h^{r+1} |w_{\mathcal{P}'}|_{\mathcal{P}'} |u|_{r+2, \mathcal{P}}, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last step we have used (3.23) and the fact  $|u|_{r+2, 1, \tau_i} \lesssim h_i^{\frac{1}{2}} |u|_{r+2, \tau_i}$  and

We next show (3.22). By the inf-sup condition (3.17),

$$|u_I - u_{\mathcal{P}}|_1 \lesssim \sup_{w_{\mathcal{P}'} \in V_{\mathcal{P}'}} \frac{a_{\mathcal{P}}(u_{\mathcal{P}} - u_I, w_{\mathcal{P}'})}{|w_{\mathcal{P}'}|_{\mathcal{P}'}} = \sup_{w_{\mathcal{P}'} \in V_{\mathcal{P}'}} \frac{a_{\mathcal{P}}(u - u_I, w_{\mathcal{P}'})}{|w_{\mathcal{P}'}|_{\mathcal{P}'}}.$$

Combining the above inequality with (3.21), we derive (3.22).  $\square$

As a direct consequence of the superconvergence result (3.22), we have the following  $L^2$  estimate.

**THEOREM 3.8.** *Assume that  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap H^{r+2}(\Omega)$  is the solution of (2.1)-(2.2), and  $u_{\mathcal{P}}$  is the solution of the FV scheme (2.5), then there holds*

$$\|u - u_{\mathcal{P}}\|_0 \lesssim h^{r+1} \|u\|_{r+2}. \quad (3.24)$$

*Proof.* By the triangle inequality,

$$\|u - u_{\mathcal{P}}\|_0 \leq \|u - u_I\|_0 + \|u_I - u_{\mathcal{P}}\|_0$$

where  $u_I$  is the interpolation of  $u$  given in the previous subsection.

Since  $u_I = u_{\mathcal{P}} = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$ , we have by the Poincaré inequality that

$$\|u_{\mathcal{P}} - u_I\|_0 \lesssim |u_{\mathcal{P}} - u_I|_1 \lesssim h^{r+1} |u|_{r+2}.$$

Moreover,

$$\|u - u_I\|_0 \lesssim h^{r+1} \|u\|_{r+1} \leq h^{r+1} \|u\|_{r+2}.$$

Then we have (3.24).  $\square$

**REMARK 3.9.** *In the above  $L^2$  error estimate, we do not need to use the so-called Aubin-Nitsche techniques.*

**4. Numerical Results.** In this section, we present a numerical example to validate the theoretical results proved in previous sections. We consider (2.1)-(2.2) with  $\alpha = 1$  and  $\Omega = [0, 1]^2$ . We choose the right-hand side function

$$f(x, y) = 2\pi^2 \sin \pi x \sin \pi y, (x, y) \in [0, 1]^2$$

which allows the exact solution

$$u(x, y) = \sin \pi x \sin \pi y, (x, y) \in [0, 1]^2.$$

We use FV schemes (2.5) with  $r = 2, 3, 4, 5$  to compute FVM approximate solutions of  $u$ . The partition  $\mathcal{P}_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, 6$ , are obtained by uniformly refining the unite square  $[0, 1]^2$ . For simplicity, we write  $u_j$ , instead of  $u_{\mathcal{P}_j}$ , as the finite volume solution  $u_{\mathcal{P}_j} \in U_{\mathcal{P}_j}^r$ .

The numerical results are demonstrated in Figures 1, 2, 3, and 4, respectively. In these figures, we depict  $n_j^{-r}$  by the solid curve with ‘□’ and depict  $n_j^{-(r+1)}$  by the dash line, where  $n_j$  is the number of subintervals of the underlying grid  $\mathcal{P}_j$ . We depict  $|u - u_j|_{H^1}$  by the solid curve with ‘★’,  $\|u - u_j\|_{L^2}$  by the solid curve with ‘△’, and  $|u_I - u_j|_{H^1}$  by the solid curve with ‘\*’. We observe that  $|u - u_j|_{H^1}$  decays with the convergence rate  $n_j^{-r}$  which supports our theory (3.20). We also observe that both  $\|u - u_j\|_{L^2}$  and  $|u_I - u_j|_{H^1}$  decay with  $n_j^{-(r+1)}$ . These facts support our  $L^2$  estimate (3.24) and superconvergene result (3.22).

**5. Conclusions and Future Works.** The design and analysis of high-order FV schemes are challenging tasks. This paper is the second one in its series that attempts to set up a mathematical foundation for a family of high order FV schemes. In a previous work ([6]), we studied convergence and superconvergence properties of FV schemes of any order for the one-dimensional elliptic equations. The higher dimensional case is fundamentally different from, and much more complicated than the one dimensional case. In this article, we only report our results for rectangular meshes. For the family of higher-order FV schemes discussed in this paper, we obtained almost the same basic theoretical results as for the counterpart higher-order FEM. The results for more general meshes and more general equations will be reported in a forthcoming paper [31].

**Acknowledgement.** The authors would like to thank a Ph.D. student, Waixiang Cao for her assistance in the numerical experiments.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] D. Arnold, F. Brezzi, B. Cockburn, and L. Marini. Unified analysis of discontinuous Galerkin methods for elliptic problems, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, 39:1749–1779, 2003.
- [2] R. E. Bank and D. J. Rose. Some error estimates for the box scheme. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, 24:777–787, 1987.
- [3] T. Barth and M. Ohlberger. Finite volume methods: foundation and analysis, in : *Encyclopedia of Computational Mechanics, Volume 1, Chapter 15*. John Wiley & Sons, 2004.
- [4] Z. Cai. On the finite volume element method. *Numer. Math.*, 58:713–735, 1991.
- [5] Z. Cai, J. Douglas, and M. Park. Development and analysis of higher order finite volume methods over rectangles for elliptic equations. *Adv. Comput. Math.*, 19:3–33, 2003.
- [6] W. Cao, Z. Li, Z. Zhang, and Q. Zou. Supercoverage finite volume schemes for 1D general elliptic equations. *Submitted*, 2012.
- [7] L. Chen. A new class of high order finite volume methods for second order elliptic equations. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, 47:4021–4043, 2010.

- [8] Z. Chen, J. Wu, and Y. Xu. Higher-order finite volume methods for elliptic boundary value problems. *Adv. in Comput. Math.*, in Press.
- [9] S. H. Chou, D. Y. Kwak, and Q. Li.  $L^p$  error estimates and superconvergence for covolume or finite volume element methods. *Numer. Methods Partial Differential Eq.*, 19 : 463-486, 2003.
- [10] M. Cui and X. Ye. Unified analysis of finite volume methods for the Stokes equations. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, 48:824-839, 2010.
- [11] P. J. Davis and P. Rabinowitz, *Methods of Numerical Integration*, 2nd Ed., Academic Press, Boston, 1984.
- [12] J. Douglas and T. Dupont. Galerkin approximations for the two point boundary problem using continuous, piecewise polynomial spaces, *Numer. Math.*, 22:99-109, 1974.
- [13] Ph. Emonot. Methods de volumes elements finis : applications aux equations de Navier-Stokes et resultats de convergence. *Dissertation*, Lyon, 1992.
- [14] R. Ewing, T. Lin, and Y. Lin. On the accuracy of the finite volume element based on piecewise linear polynomials. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, 39:1865-1888, 2002.
- [15] R. Eymard, T. Gallouet, and R. Herbin. *Finite Volume Methods*, in : *Handbook of Numerical Analysis*, VII, 713-1020, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2000.
- [16] W. Hackbusch. On first and second order box methods. *Computing*, 41:277-296, 1989.
- [17] J. M. Hyman, R. Knapp and J.C. Scovel. High order finite volume approximations of differential operators on nonuniform grids. *Physica D*, 60:112-138, 1992.
- [18] R. Lazarov, I. Michev, and P. Vassilevski. Finite volume methods for convection-diffusion problems. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, 33:31-55, 1996.
- [19] R. J. LeVeque. *Finite Volume Methods for Hyperbolic Problems*. Cambridge Texts in Applied Mathematics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002.
- [20] R. Li, Z. Chen, and W. Wu. *The Generalized Difference Methods for Partial differential Equations*. Marcel Dikker, New Youk, 2000.
- [21] F. Liebau. The finite volume element method with quadratic basis function. *Computing*, 57:281-299, 1996.
- [22] R. A. Nicolaides, T. A. Porsching, and C. A. Hall. Covolume methods in computational fluid dynamics, in: *Computational Fluid Dynamics Review*, 279-299, Wiley, New York, 1995.
- [23] C. Ollivier-Gooch and M. Altena. A high-order-accurate unconstructed mesh finite-volume scheme for the advection-diffusion equation. *J. Comput. Phys.*, 181:729-752, 2002.
- [24] S. V. Patanker, *Numerical Heat Transfer and Fluid Flow*, Ser. Comput. Methods Mech. Thermal Sci., McGraw Hill, New York, 1980.
- [25] M. Plexousakis and G. Zouraris. On the construction and analysis of high order locally conservative finite volume type methods for one dimensional elliptic problems. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, 42:1226-1260, 2004.
- [26] C. W. Shu. High order finite difference and finite volume WENO schemes and discontinuous Galerkin methods for CFD. *J. Comput. Fluid Dyn.*, 17:107-118, 2003.
- [27] M. Tian and Z. Chen. Quadratical element generalized differential methods for elliptic equations. *Numer. Math. J. Chinese Univ.*, 13:99-113, 1991.
- [28] T. Wang and Y. Gu. Superconvergence biquadratic finite volume element method for two dimensional Poisson's equation. *Compu. Appl. Math.*, 234:447-460, 2010.
- [29] J. Xu and L. Zikatanov. Some observations on Babuska-Brezzi conditions. *Numer. Math.*, 94:195-202, 2003.
- [30] J. Xu and Q. Zou. Analysis of linear and quadratic simpltical finite volume methods for elliptic equations. *Numer. Math.*, 111:469-492, 2009.
- [31] Z. Zhang and Q. Zou. Finite volume methods of any order on quadrilateral meshes for general elliptic equations. *In preparation*, 2012.
- [32] Z. Zhang. Finite element superconvergent approximation for one-dimensional singularly perturbed problems. *Numer. Meth. Part. D. E.* 18:374-395, 2002.
- [33] Z. Zhang. Superconvergence of spectral collocation and p-version methods in one dimensional problems. *Math. Comp.* 74:1621-1636, 2005.
- [34] Q. Zhu and Q. Lin. *Superconvergence Theory of the Finite Element Method* (in Chinese). Hunan Science Press, Hunan, 1989.
- [35] Q. Zou. Hierarchical error estimates for finite volume approximation solution of elliptic equations, *Appl. Numer. Math.*, 60:142-153, 2010.